



Wigner–Souriau translations and Lorentz symmetry of chiral fermions

C. Duval^a, M. Elbistan^b, P.A. Horváthy^{b,c,*}, P.-M. Zhang^c^a Aix-Marseille University, CNRS UMR-7332, Univ. Sud Toulon-Var, 13288 Marseille cedex 9, France^b Laboratoire de Mathématiques et de Physique Théorique, Université de Tours, France^c Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 27 November 2014

Received in revised form 19 January 2015

Accepted 27 January 2015

Available online 30 January 2015

Editor: M. Cvetič

ABSTRACT

Chiral fermions can be embedded into Souriau's massless spinning particle model by “enslaving” the spin, viewed as a gauge constraint. The latter is not invariant under Lorentz boosts; spin enslavement can be restored, however, by a Wigner–Souriau (WS) translation, analogous to a compensating gauge transformation. The combined transformation is precisely the recently uncovered twisted boost, which we now extend to finite transformations. WS-translations are identified with the stability group of a motion acting on the right on the Poincaré group, whereas the natural Poincaré action corresponds to action on the left.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). Funded by SCOAP³.

1. Introduction

Semiclassical chiral fermions of spin $\frac{1}{2}$ can be described by the phase-space action

$$S = \int \left((\mathbf{p} + e\mathbf{A}) \cdot \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} - h - \mathbf{a} \cdot \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{dt} \right) dt, \quad h = |\mathbf{p}| + e\phi(\mathbf{x}), \quad (1.1)$$

where $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{p})$ is a vector potential for the “Berry monopole” in \mathbf{p} -space, $\nabla_{\mathbf{p}} \times \mathbf{a} = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{p}}}{2|\mathbf{p}|^2}$, $\hat{\mathbf{p}} = \mathbf{p}/|\mathbf{p}|$, $\mathbf{p} \neq 0$. Here $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\phi(\mathbf{x})$ are [static] vector and scalar potentials and e is the electric charge [1–6]. A distinctive feature is that spin is “enslaved” to the momentum, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{s} = \frac{1}{2} \hat{\mathbf{p}}. \quad (1.2)$$

An intriguing aspect of the model is its *lack of manifest Lorentz symmetry*. Recently [5], it was shown, though, that modifying the dispersion relation in (1.1) as $h = |\mathbf{p}| + e\phi(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \mathbf{B}}{2|\mathbf{p}|}$ yields a theory which is covariant w.r.t. Lorentz transformations. Turning off the external field, their expression # (6) reduces to

$$\delta \mathbf{x} = \boldsymbol{\beta} t + \boldsymbol{\beta} \times \frac{\hat{\mathbf{p}}}{2|\mathbf{p}|}, \quad \delta \mathbf{p} = |\mathbf{p}| \boldsymbol{\beta}, \quad \delta t = \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is an infinitesimal Lorentz boost. This formula has also been found, independently [6], by relating the chiral fermion to Souriau's model of a relativistic massless spinning particle [6,7].

The chiral and the Souriau systems [7] have seemingly different degrees of freedom: the first one has “enslaved” spin, while the latter has “unchained” spin represented by a vector \mathbf{s} , which may not satisfy (1.2). However, the freedom specific to the massless case of applying what we call a *Wigner–Souriau (WS) translation*, Eq. (2.7) below,¹ allows one to eliminate the additional degrees of freedom of the Souriau framework, so that the two systems have identical spaces of motions [6,7]. This enabled us to “export” the natural Lorentz symmetry to the chiral system, yielding (1.3).

In this Note we derive (1.3) in another, rather more intuitive way, namely by *embedding* the chiral fermion model directly into the Souriau model, namely by viewing spin enslavement, (1.2), as a *gauge condition* with WS translations and viewed as gauge transformations. Then our clue is that a natural Lorentz boost does not leave the constraint (1.2) invariant; the “gauge” condition (1.2) can, however, be restored by a WS translation, yielding, once again, (1.3). This is reminiscent of what happens in *gauge theories*, where a space–time transformation can be a symmetry when the variation of the vector potential can be compensated by a suitable gauge transformation [12].

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: duval@cpt.univ-mrs.fr (C. Duval), elbistan@itu.edu.tr (M. Elbistan), horvathy@lmpt.univ-tours.fr (P.A. Horváthy), zhpm@impcas.ac.cn (P.-M. Zhang).

¹ Wigner–Souriau translations (called “Z-shifts” in [6]) were known to Wigner [8] and to Penrose [9]. Their use in the semiclassical framework was advocated by Souriau [7]. They were overlooked by most present authors with the notable exception of Stone et al. [4], who also suggested viewing them as gauge transformations.

Further insight is gained in Section 5 which clarifies the geometry hidden behind: while the natural Poincaré action corresponds to the left-action of the Poincaré group on itself, WS translations correspond to the right-action of the stability group of a motion.

We conclude our Letter by some remarks about non-commutativity.

2. Symplectic description of the chiral and the massless spinning models

Both the chiral and the Souriau models can conveniently be described within Souriau's framework [6,7], where the classical motions are identified with curves or surfaces in some evolution space, and are tangent to the kernel of a closed two-form; see [6] for details. We limit our considerations to the free case; coupling to external fields has been discussed in the literature, see [6,13].

We first consider the [free] chiral model (1.1). It has been shown [6] that the associated variational problem admits an alternative geometric formulation. To that end, we introduce the seven-dimensional evolution space $V^7 = T(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{R}$ described by triples $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t)$, and endow it with the two-form σ defined by

$$\sigma = \omega - dh \wedge dt \quad \text{where } \omega = dp_i \wedge dx^i - \frac{1}{4|\mathbf{p}|^3} \epsilon^{ijk} p_i dp_j \wedge dp_k, \\ h = |\mathbf{p}|. \quad (2.1)$$

The two-forms ω and σ are closed, since $\nabla_{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0$. The kernel of σ defines an integrable distribution, whose leaves [integral manifolds] can be viewed as generalized solutions of the variational problem. Here, the kernel is one-dimensional and a curve $(\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{p}(t), t)$ is tangent to it iff the equations of motion,

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = \widehat{\mathbf{p}}, \quad \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{dt} = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

are satisfied [6]; the solution is plainly $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_0 = \text{const}$, $\mathbf{x}(t) = \mathbf{x}_0 + \widehat{\mathbf{p}}t$, $\mathbf{x}_0 = \text{const}$, i.e., the motion is in the $\widehat{\mathbf{p}}$ direction with the velocity of light.

The Souriau model admits a similar description [6]. Restricting ourselves again to the free case, the evolution space here is nine-dimensional and is described by

$$V^9 = \left\{ R, P \in \mathbb{R}^{3,1}, S \in \mathfrak{o}(3,1) \mid P_\mu P^\mu = 0, P^4 > 0, S_{\mu\nu} P^\nu = 0, \right. \\ \left. S_{\mu\nu} S^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \right\}. \quad (2.3)$$

The evolution space is endowed with the closed two-form

$$\sigma = -dP_\mu \wedge dR^\mu - 2dS^\mu_\lambda \wedge S^\lambda_\rho dS^\rho_\mu. \quad (2.4)$$

A (3+1)-decomposition can be introduced by writing, in a Lorentz frame, $R = (\mathbf{r}, t)$, and $P = (\mathbf{p}, |\mathbf{p}|)$ where $\mathbf{p} \neq 0$. The components $S_{\mu\nu}$ of the spin tensor can in turn be split into space and space-time components,

$$S_{ij} = \epsilon_{ijk} s^k, \quad S_{j4} = \Sigma_j \quad \text{where } \Sigma = \widehat{\mathbf{p}} \times \mathbf{s}, \quad (2.5)$$

the 3-vector \mathbf{s} being interpreted as the spin in the chosen Lorentz frame. Note that Σ is not an independent variable, so that a point of V^9 can be labeled by $(\mathbf{r}, t, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{s})$. Then the [free] equations of motion associated with the kernel of σ in (2.4) are expressed as,²

$$-\mathbf{p} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{r}} + |\mathbf{p}| \dot{t} = 0, \quad \dot{\mathbf{p}} = 0, \quad \dot{\mathbf{s}} = \mathbf{p} \times \dot{\mathbf{r}}, \quad (2.6)$$

and can be deduced from Eq. (3.6) in [6]. A particular solution of (2.6) is obtained by *embedding the chiral solution above into the spin-extended evolution space* V^9 by identifying \mathbf{r} with \mathbf{x} in (2.2) and completing [trivially] with a constant spin vector, $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_0 = \text{const}$, $\mathbf{r}(t) = \mathbf{r}_0 + \widehat{\mathbf{p}}t$, $\mathbf{r}_0 = \text{const}$, $\mathbf{s}(t) = \mathbf{s}_0 = \text{const}$. A remarkable feature of Eqs. (2.6) is that, for an arbitrary 3-vector \mathbf{W} , the transformation we refer to as a *Wigner–Souriau (WS) translation* [4,6–9],

$$\mathbf{r} \rightarrow \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{W}, \quad t \rightarrow t + \widehat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \mathbf{W}, \quad \mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{p}, \quad \mathbf{s} \rightarrow \mathbf{s} + \mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{W} \quad (2.7)$$

takes a solution of (2.6) into another, equivalent one. The kernel of (2.4) is invariant under WS-translations and is in fact three-dimensional, swept by the images of the embedded solutions.

The spin vector here, \mathbf{s} , is not necessarily “enslaved”, i.e., may not be parallel to the momentum, \mathbf{p} . The spin constraint in (2.3) implies nevertheless that the projection of the spin onto the momentum and the perpendicular component Σ satisfy,

$$\mathbf{s} \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \Sigma = \widehat{\mathbf{p}} \times \mathbf{s}, \quad (2.8)$$

respectively, see (2.5). From the WS action above we infer that $\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma + \widehat{\mathbf{p}} \times (\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{W})$. It follows that Σ can be *eliminated*: choosing $\mathbf{W} = \Sigma/|\mathbf{p}|$ carries Σ to zero.

The Poincaré group acts naturally on V^9 , namely according to [6,7]

$$\begin{cases} \delta \mathbf{r} = \boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r} + \boldsymbol{\beta} t + \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \\ \delta t = \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{r} + \varepsilon, \\ \delta \mathbf{p} = \boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{p} + \boldsymbol{\beta} |\mathbf{p}|, \\ \delta \mathbf{s} = \boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{s} - \boldsymbol{\beta} \times \Sigma, \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\omega}$, $\boldsymbol{\beta}$, $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$, ε are identified with infinitesimal rotations, boosts, translations and time-translations; their action duly projects to Minkowski space-time as the natural one. In what follows, we focus our attention at boosts; WS-translations will be studied further in Section 5.

3. Embedding the chiral system into the massless spinning model

Now we embed the evolution space of the chiral model, V^7 , into that of the massless spinning particle, V^9 . We note first that, by (2.8), spin enslavement, (1.2), is equivalent to

$$\Sigma = \widehat{\mathbf{p}} \times \mathbf{s} = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

which, viewed as a constraint, defines a seven-dimensional submanifold of V^9 that we parametrize with $\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t$ and denote (with a suggestive abuse of notation) still V^7 . Eqs. (2.6) and (2.8) imply that $\Sigma = |\mathbf{p}|(\widehat{\mathbf{p}}\dot{t} - \dot{\mathbf{r}})$. Requiring $\Sigma = 0$ is therefore consistent with the dynamics: the motions of the chiral system lie in the intersection of the three-dimensional characteristic leaves of V^9 with the surface V^7 defined by spin enslaving; they remain therefore motions also for the extended dynamics.³ A chiral motion is embedded into V^9 by respecting the gauge condition (1.2), namely as $\gamma(t) = (\mathbf{r}(t) = \mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{p}(t), \mathbf{s}(t) = \frac{1}{2}\widehat{\mathbf{p}}(t))$.

4. Lorentz boost actions

We consider now an infinitesimal Lorentz boost, $\boldsymbol{\beta}$. For $\mathbf{s} = \frac{1}{2}\widehat{\mathbf{p}}$ we have $\delta_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{s}) = \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\beta} \times \mathbf{p}$, which does *not* vanish in general: *spin*

² These equations can also be obtained in a Wess–Zumino framework [10], namely as the variational equations of the Lagrangian # (3.1) of [10]; the latter is in fact the one-form (B.1) in [6], whose exterior derivative is the two-form $d(\mu_0 \cdot g^{-1}dg)$.

³ Alternatively, the restriction of the free two-form (2.4) of V^9 to V^7 is (2.1).




Fig. 1. Enslaving the spin amounts to embedding the chiral evolution space V^7 into that, V^9 , of the massless spinning particle. A chiral motion thus becomes the intersection of V^7 with the characteristic leaf of $\ker \sigma$ (depicted as a vertical plane). Enslavement is not invariant under a (natural) boost \mathbf{b} , but a suitable compensating Wigner–Souriau translation \mathbf{W} allows us to re-enslave the spin. The combination of the two transformations yields the twisted boost \mathbf{B} in (1.3).

and momentum do not remain parallel even if they were so initially: embedding the chiral system into the Souriau model through spin enslavement is not boost-invariant: natural boost symmetry is broken by spin enslavement. However, as dictated by the analogy with gauge symmetries [12], let us apply an infinitesimal WS-translation

$$\delta_{\mathbf{W}} \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{W}, \quad \delta_{\mathbf{W}} t = \hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \mathbf{W}, \quad \delta_{\mathbf{W}} \mathbf{p} = 0, \\ \delta_{\mathbf{W}} \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{W} \quad \text{with } \mathbf{W} = \frac{\boldsymbol{\beta} \times \hat{\mathbf{p}}}{2|\mathbf{p}|}. \quad (4.1)$$

Then $\delta_{\mathbf{W}} \mathbf{s} = \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\beta} - \hat{\mathbf{p}}(\hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}))$, implying that the combined transformation $\delta = \delta_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} + \delta_{\mathbf{W}}$ does preserve spin enslavement, $\delta(\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{s}) = 0$. The transformation of V^7 generated by δ is precisely the twisted boost (1.3).⁴

So far we studied infinitesimal actions only. But our strategy is valid also for finite transformations, as we show it now. Firstly, from Appendix C of [6] we infer the action of a finite boost \mathbf{b} on the evolution space V^9 , namely

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{r} + (\gamma - 1)(\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \mathbf{r})\hat{\mathbf{b}} + \gamma t\mathbf{b}, \\ t' = \gamma(\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{r} + t), \\ \mathbf{p}' = \mathbf{p} + \gamma|\mathbf{p}|\mathbf{b} + (\gamma - 1)(\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \mathbf{p})\hat{\mathbf{b}}, \\ \mathbf{s}' = \mathbf{s} + (\gamma - 1)\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times (\mathbf{s} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}) - \gamma \mathbf{b} \times \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

which is consistent with the infinitesimal action (2.9). Then, starting with enslaved spin, $\mathbf{s} = \frac{1}{2}\hat{\mathbf{p}}$, we find,

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}' = \frac{\mathbf{p}' \times \mathbf{s}'}{|\mathbf{p}'|} = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^2|\mathbf{b}| + (\gamma^2 - 1)\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}) \frac{\hat{\mathbf{b}} \times \mathbf{p}}{|\mathbf{p}'|} \quad (4.3)$$

which does not vanish in general: spin is unchained. At last, the finite WS-translation (2.7) with $\mathbf{W} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}'/|\mathbf{p}'|$ restores the validity of (1.2): spin is re-enslaved, $\mathbf{s}'' = \frac{1}{2}\hat{\mathbf{p}}'$. The combined transformation for finite boosts,

$$\mathbf{r}'' = \mathbf{r} + \gamma t\mathbf{b} + (\gamma - 1)(\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \mathbf{r})\hat{\mathbf{b}} + \frac{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}'}{|\mathbf{p}'|}, \quad (4.4)$$

completed with $t'' = t'$ and $\mathbf{p}'' = \mathbf{p}'$ where t' , \mathbf{p}' and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}'$ are given in (4.2) and (4.3), respectively. The infinitesimal action is (1.3) as it should be. In conclusion, Fig. 1 is valid also for finite boosts.

We now turn to the space of motions [6,7], defined as the quotient of the evolution space by the characteristic foliation of σ ; we denote it by M . The equations of motion of the spin-extended system, (2.6), imply that

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{r}(t) - \hat{\mathbf{p}}t + \frac{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}{|\mathbf{p}|} \quad (4.5)$$

is in fact a constant of the motion, $d\tilde{\mathbf{x}}/dt = 0$. It can be used therefore to label the motion, i.e., a characteristic leaf in V^9 . The conserved momentum, \mathbf{p} , is another good coordinate set on M , which is six-dimensional, and whose points can therefore be labeled by $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ and $\mathbf{p} \neq 0$.

In [6], we derived the twisted boost (1.3) from the Poincaré action on the space of motions. Conversely, the latter can be obtained from our construction here. Boosting in V^9 according to (2.9) we find that the preceding terms combine to yield

$$\delta_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\beta} \times \frac{\hat{\mathbf{p}}}{|\mathbf{p}|} - \hat{\mathbf{p}}(\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{x}}). \quad (4.6)$$

Now WS-translations act in turn trivially on the space of motions, $\delta_{\mathbf{W}} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \delta_{\mathbf{W}} \mathbf{p} = 0$, since they move each characteristic leaf within itself. Completing (4.6) with $\delta_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \mathbf{p} = |\mathbf{p}|\boldsymbol{\beta}$ cf. (2.9), we end up with the (boost-)action on the space of motions, – Eq. (3.19) in [6]. At last, applying (4.2) to each term in (4.5) allows us to confirm the finite action on the space of motions, Eq. # (3.24) in [6].

5. The geometry of Wigner–Souriau transformations

Do WS-translations belong to the Poincaré group? To answer this question, let us first briefly summarize Souriau’s construction for “elementary systems” [meaning that the group acts symplectically and transitively] [7].

The connected component of the Poincaré group, G , can be identified with the group of matrices $g = \begin{pmatrix} L & C \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ where L is a Lorentz transformation, $L \in H$ (the connected Lorentz group), and C a Minkowski-space vector. The Poincaré Lie algebra, \mathfrak{g} , is hence spanned by the matrices $X = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & \Gamma \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ where the infinitesimal Lorentz transformations and translations, $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{so}(3, 1)$, and $\Gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$, respectively, are such that, in a given Lorentz frame, $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} j(\boldsymbol{\omega}) & \boldsymbol{\beta} \\ \boldsymbol{\beta}^T & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \\ \varepsilon \end{pmatrix}$ with $\boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ interpreted as an infinitesimal rotation, boost, and space-translation, while $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ stands for an infinitesimal time-translation. Here $j(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ is the matrix of cross product in 3-space, $j(\boldsymbol{\omega})\mathbf{x} = \boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{x}$.

The Poincaré group acts on itself on the left, $g \rightarrow hg$, for $h \in G$, and the quotient of G by the subgroup of Lorentz transformations, G/H , can be identified with Minkowski space-time. This left-action of G on itself projects to the natural action, infinitesimally the two upper lines in (2.9), of the Poincaré group on space-time.

The Poincaré group acts on the dual Lie algebra by the coadjoint representation, defined by $\text{Coad}_g \mu \cdot X = \mu \cdot g^{-1}Xg$, for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. We denote here by $\mu = (M, P) \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ a “moment” of the Poincaré group, and by $\mu \cdot X = \frac{1}{2}M_{\mu\nu}\Lambda^{\mu\nu} - P_{\mu}\Gamma^{\mu}$ its contraction with $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, see [7].

Contracting the Maurer–Cartan one-form, $g^{-1}dg$, with an arbitrary fixed element μ_0 of the dual of Lie algebra, yields a real one-form on the group G ; we denote by $\sigma = d(\mu_0 \cdot g^{-1}dg)$ its exterior derivative. As a general fact, the characteristic leaves of the two-form σ , defined by its kernel, are identified with the classical motions [11] associated with μ_0 . Indeed, the space of all motions,

⁴ The order is irrelevant, since WS-shifts and boosts commute.

M , of an elementary system for the group G is interpreted by Souriau [7] as the orbit of some basepoint μ_0 under the coadjoint action, $M = \text{Coad}_G \mu_0 \approx G/G_0$, where G_0 is the stability subgroup of μ_0 . The coadjoint orbit, M , is hence canonically endowed with the symplectic structure defined by the Kostant–Kirillov–Souriau two-form ω , the image of σ under the projection $G \rightarrow M$.

In our case and following [7], the basepoint can readily be chosen as $\mu_0 = (M_0, P_0)$ with $M_0 = s \begin{pmatrix} j(\hat{\mathbf{p}}_0) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $P_0 = |\mathbf{p}_0| \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{p}}_0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ with $\hat{\mathbf{p}}_0 = \mathbf{e}_3$; here $s = \frac{1}{2}$ is the scalar spin and the positive constant $|\mathbf{p}_0|$ is the energy. Then a straightforward calculation shows that the Poincaré Lie algebra element (Λ, Γ) with parameters $\boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \mathbb{R}^3, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ leaves the chosen basepoint μ_0 invariant whenever

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} = \boldsymbol{\beta} \times \hat{\mathbf{p}}_0 + \lambda \hat{\mathbf{p}}_0, \quad \boldsymbol{\gamma} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\boldsymbol{\beta} \times \hat{\mathbf{p}}_0}{|\mathbf{p}_0|} + \varepsilon \hat{\mathbf{p}}_0. \quad (5.1)$$

The stability Lie algebra, \mathfrak{g}_0 , is therefore four-dimensional, parametrized by $(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \varepsilon, \lambda)$, where $\boldsymbol{\beta} \perp \hat{\mathbf{p}}_0$, $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ represents an infinitesimal rotation around $\hat{\mathbf{p}}_0$. We note that the evolution space V^9 is in fact the quotient of the Poincaré group by rotations around $\hat{\mathbf{p}}_0$, and (2.9) above is in fact the projection of the infinitesimal left-action of the group G to $V^9 \approx G/\text{SO}(2)$, whereas the two-form $d(\mu_0 \cdot g^{-1}dg)$ projects as (2.4), as anticipated by the notation.

Remember now that the Poincaré group also acts on itself from the right, $g \rightarrow gh^{-1}$, for $h \in G$; its infinitesimal right-action is therefore given by matrix multiplication, $\delta_X g = -gX$, where $X = \delta h$ at $h = 1$. Choosing in particular $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$, the action on the group reads $\delta_X \begin{pmatrix} L & C \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -L\Lambda & -L\Gamma \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \\ \varepsilon \end{pmatrix}$, where $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ and $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ are as in (5.1). This 4-parameter vector field belongs, at each point $g \in G$, to the kernel of the two-form σ ; in fact, it generates its kernel [7]. Renaming the Poincaré translation C as $R = (\mathbf{r}, t)$, a space-time event, shows that the right-action on G of a vector X from the stability algebra \mathfrak{g}_0 yields,

$$\delta_X \mathbf{r} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\boldsymbol{\beta} \times \hat{\mathbf{p}}}{|\mathbf{p}|} - \varepsilon \hat{\mathbf{p}} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_X t = -\varepsilon. \quad (5.2)$$

This transformation satisfies the condition $\hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \delta_X \mathbf{r} = \delta_X t$ required for an infinitesimal WS-translation; conversely, any WS-translation \mathbf{W} is of the form (5.2), with $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ perpendicular to \mathbf{p} and λ arbitrary. Therefore (with a slight abuse) we will refer to \mathfrak{g}_0 acting from the right as a WS-translations.

Comparing now (5.2) with (4.1) allows us to conclude that while a boost $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ acting on the left unchains the spin, the latter is re-enslaved by a WS-translation with the same boost, $\boldsymbol{\beta}$, acting from the right.

It is readily verified that the right-action of an X from the stability algebra \mathfrak{g}_0 acts as $\delta_X \mathbf{p} = 0$ and $\delta_X \mathbf{s} = \frac{1}{2} \hat{\mathbf{p}} \times (\hat{\mathbf{p}} \times \boldsymbol{\beta})$, consistently with the infinitesimal action. Thus, after rotations around $\hat{\mathbf{p}}_0$ are factored out, the right-action of the stability subalgebra \mathfrak{g}_0 projects to V^9 as the WS translations. In conclusion, Wigner–Souriau translations are Poincaré transformations, – but which act on the right and not on the left as natural ones do. Projecting further down to the space of motions, M , they act trivially. The various spaces are shown, symbolically, in Fig. 2.

6. Relation to non-commutativity

The spaces of motions of both the chiral and the Souriau systems carry the symplectic structure ω in (2.1) [with $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ replacing \mathbf{x}] [6]. Translating into Poisson bracket language, we have $\{\xi^\alpha, \xi^\beta\} = \omega^{\alpha\beta}(\xi)$ where $(\omega^{\alpha\beta}) = -(\omega_{\alpha\beta})^{-1}$ are the coefficients of the inverse of the symplectic form $\omega = \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\alpha\beta}(\xi) d\xi^\alpha \wedge d\xi^\beta$. Therefore the components of the space of motions coordinates $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ do not Poisson-commute,




Fig. 2. The evolution space, V^9 , is the quotient of the Poincaré group, G^{10} by rotations around $\hat{\mathbf{p}}_0$. The space of motions is identified with a coadjoint orbit $M^6 \approx G^{10}/G_0$ of G^{10} , where G_0 , the stability group of the basepoint μ_0 . The left-action of G^{10} on itself projects to the natural Poincaré action on both the space of motions and Minkowski space-time, consistently with projecting first to V^9 and then to M^6 and $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$, respectively.

$$\{\tilde{x}^i, \tilde{x}^j\} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} \frac{p_k}{|\mathbf{p}|^3}. \quad (6.1)$$

Putting $t = 0$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = 0$ into (4.5) shows that the chiral coordinate \mathbf{x} satisfies the same commutation relation. Non-commutativity is thus a consequence of spin, cf. [15–18]; chiral fermions provide just another example of non-commutative mechanics.

It is worth stressing that the non-commutativity is *unrelated* to WS translations. Non-commutativity arises in fact due to the twisted symplectic structure of the *space of motions* (modeled by the phase space); but the WS translations act on the evolution space *before projecting, trivially*, to the space of motions.

In the operator context a *Bopp-shift* transforms the commutation relations to canonical ones [19]. In the (semi)classical context we are interested in it amounts to introducing new, canonical coordinates, eliminating spatial non-commutativity altogether. Now Darboux's theorem [20] guarantees that this is always possible *locally*. In detail, we redefine the coordinates as

$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = \tilde{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{p}), \quad \tilde{\mathbf{P}} = \mathbf{p}, \quad (6.2)$$

where $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{p})$ is a “Berry” vector potential. Then, in terms of $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$, the symplectic form ω in (2.1) has the canonical expression $\omega = d\tilde{P}_i \wedge d\tilde{X}^i$, so that $\{\tilde{X}^i, \tilde{X}^j\} = \{\tilde{P}_i, \tilde{P}_j\} = 0$ and $\{\tilde{P}_i, \tilde{X}^j\} = \delta_i^j$. Spin seems thus eliminated. This is *not* possible globally, though, since any \mathbf{a} is singular along a Dirac string, as it is well-known.

The variation of the Berry vector potential under a boost $\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is then found to be $\delta_\beta \mathbf{a} = -\boldsymbol{\beta} \times \frac{\hat{\mathbf{p}}}{2|\mathbf{p}|} - (\mathbf{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}) \hat{\mathbf{p}} + \nabla_{\mathbf{p}}(|\mathbf{p}| \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{a})$. It follows that, in terms of the Darboux coordinates (6.2), the infinitesimal action of boosts is given by

$$\delta_\beta \tilde{\mathbf{X}} = -(\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{X}}) \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{P}}}{|\tilde{\mathbf{P}}|} + \nabla_{\tilde{\mathbf{P}}}(|\tilde{\mathbf{P}}| \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{a}), \quad \delta_\beta \tilde{\mathbf{P}} = \boldsymbol{\beta} |\tilde{\mathbf{P}}|. \quad (6.3)$$

Redefining analogously the chiral coordinate as $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{p}$ allows us to infer

$$\delta_\beta \mathbf{X} = \boldsymbol{\beta} t - (\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{a}) \hat{\mathbf{P}} + \nabla_{\mathbf{P}}(|\mathbf{P}| \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{a}) = \boldsymbol{\beta} t + |\mathbf{P}| \nabla_{\mathbf{P}}(\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{a}), \quad \delta_\beta \mathbf{P} = \boldsymbol{\beta} |\mathbf{P}|, \quad (6.4)$$

which is reminiscent of but still different from the natural action (2.9).

7. Conclusion

In this Letter, we re-derived the twisted Lorentz symmetry (1.3) of chiral fermions by embedding the theory of Refs. [1–5] into Souriau's massless spinning model [6,7] by spin enslavement, (1.2), viewed as a gauge fixing. The latter is not boost invariant, but enslavement can be restored by a suitable compensating WS-translation, which is analogous to a gauge transformation [12]. Our formula (4.4) extends (1.3) to finite transformations.

The motions of the extended model are not mere curves but 3-planes, swept by WS-translations. The massless relativistic particle is delocalized [4,6–10] and behaves rather as a 3-brane.

Coupling to an external electromagnetic field breaks the WS “gauge” symmetry, so that spin can not be enslaved. The motions then take place along curves: the particle gets localized [6]. New results [21] indicate however that while a WS translation is *unobservable* for an infinite plane wave, it is *observable* for a wave packet. Intuitively, forming and keeping together a wave packet behaves as a sort of interaction.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Mike Stone for calling our attention at chiral fermions and for enlightening correspondence. ME is indebted to *Service de Coopération et Culturel de l'Ambassade de France en Turquie* and to the *Laboratoire de Mathématiques et de Physique Théorique* of Tours University. P. Kosinski and his collaborators work on a related project [14]. This work was supported by the Major State Basic Research Development Program in China (No. 2015CB856903) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11035006 and 11175215).

References

- [1] M.A. Stephanov, Y. Yin, Chiral kinetic theory, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 109 (2012) 162001, arXiv:1207.0747 [hep-th].
- [2] D.T. Son, N. Yamamoto, Kinetic theory with Berry curvature from quantum field theories, *Phys. Rev. D* 87 (2013) 085016, arXiv:1210.8158 [hep-th].
- [3] M. Stone, V. Dwivedi, Classical version of the non-Abelian gauge anomaly, *Phys. Rev. D* 88 (2013) 045012, arXiv:1305.1955 [hep-th].
- [4] M. Stone, V. Dwivedi, T. Zhou, Berry phase, Lorentz covariance, and anomalous velocity for Dirac and Weyl particles, *Phys. Rev. D* 91 (2015) 025004, arXiv:1406.0354.
- [5] J.Y. Chen, D.T. Son, M.A. Stephanov, H.U. Yee, Y. Yin, Lorentz invariance in chiral kinetic theory, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 113 (18) (2014) 182302, arXiv:1404.5963 [hep-th].
- [6] C. Duval, P.A. Horvathy, Chiral fermions as classical massless spinning particles, arXiv:1406.0718 [hep-th].
- [7] J.-M. Souriau, *Structure des systèmes dynamiques*, Dunod, 1970; J.-M. Souriau, *Structure of Dynamical Systems. A Symplectic View of Physics*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1997.
- [8] E. Wigner, On unitary representation of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group, *Ann. Math.* 40 (1939) 149–204.
- [9] R. Penrose, Twistor algebra, *J. Math. Phys.* 8 (1967) 345.
- [10] B.S. Skagerstam, Localization of massless spinning particles and the Berry phase, arXiv:hep-th/9210054.
- [11] P.-M. Zhang, On the polarizers of compact semi-simple Lie groups. Applications, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, A Phys. Théor.* 34 (1981) 95–115.
- [12] P. Forgacs, N.S. Manton, Space-time symmetries in gauge theories, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 72 (1980) 15.
- [13] P.-M. Zhang, P.A. Horvathy, Anomalous hall effect for semiclassical chiral fermions, *Phys. Lett. A* 379 (2015) 507, arXiv:1409.4225 [hep-th].
- [14] P. Kosinski et al., Massless relativistic particles, work in progress; See also J.A. de Azcarraga, S. Fedoruk, J.M. Izquierdo, J. Lukierski, Two-twistor particle models and free massive higher spin fields, arXiv:1409.7169 [hep-th].
- [15] A.O. Barut, A.J. Bracken, The Zitterbewegung and the internal geometry of the electron, *Phys. Rev. D* 23 (1981) 2454; A.O. Barut, Nino Zanghi, Classical model of the Dirac electron, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 52 (1984) 2009.
- [16] H. Bacry, The Poincaré group, the Dirac monopole and photon localization, *J. Phys. A* 14 (1981) L73.
- [17] C. Duval, J. Elhadad, G.M. Tuynman, Pukanszky's condition and symplectic induction, *J. Differ. Geom.* 36 (1992) 331–348; C. Duval, J. Elhadad, Geometric quantization and localization of relativistic spin systems, in: M.J. Gotay, J.E. Marsden, V.E. Moncrief (Eds.), *Proc. AMS of the 1991 Joint Summer Research Conference on Mathematical Aspects of Classical Field Theory*, Seattle, 1991, in: *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 132, 1992, pp. 317–330.
- [18] C. Duval, Z. Horváth, P.A. Horváthy, Geometrical spinoptics and the optical hall effect, *J. Geom. Phys.* 57 (2007) 925, arXiv:math-ph/0509031.
- [19] T. Curtright, D. Fairlie, C.K. Zachos, Features of time independent Wigner functions, *Phys. Rev. D* 58 (1998) 025002, arXiv:hep-th/9711183.
- [20] G. Darboux, Sur le problème de Pfaff, *Bull. Sci. Math.* (2) 6 (1882) 14–36, 49–68; R. Abraham, J.E. Marsden, *Foundations of Mechanics*, Addison-Wesley, 1978.
- [21] M. Stone, V. Dwivedi, T. Zhou, Wigner translations and the observer-dependence of the position of massless spinning particles, work in progress.